

Appendix F
SHPO Letter of Concurrence

**OFFICE OF HISTORIC PRESERVATION
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION**

P.O. BOX 942896
SACRAMENTO, CA 94296-0001
(916) 653-6624 Fax: (916) 653-9824
calshpo@ohp.parks.ca.gov
www.ohp.parks.ca.gov



December 29, 2009

In Reply Refer To: COE090817A

Francis C. Piccola
Chief, Planning Division
Department of the Army
U.S. Army Engineer District
Sacramento Corps of Engineers
1325 J Street
Sacramento, California 95814-2922

Re: Continued Consultation Regarding the Blackwood Creek Restoration Project,
Placer County, California

Dear Mr. Piccola:

Thank you for continuing consultation, with your letter of December 09, 2009, regarding the Blackwood Creek Restoration Project in Placer County, The U.S. Army Engineer District, Sacramento Corps of Engineers, is seeking my concurrence on the effects that the subject undertaking will have on historic properties, pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800 (as amended 8-05-04) regulations implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). At this time, in your current letter and additional supporting documentation, you are responding to my comments provided in my letters of August 31, 2009, and November 24, 2009, and are submitting additional documentation in the form of a DPR 523 primary record and archaeological site record (and attachments) for the Landa Sheep Camp (CA-PLA-40/H combined with P-31-2806/H).

After reviewing your current letter and re-visiting the previous letters and documentation for this undertaking, I have the following comments:

- 1) I concur that the revised Area of Potential Effects (APE) is appropriate pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800.4(a)(1).
- 2) I acknowledge that the COE will be treating CA-PLA-40/H (combined with P-31-2806/H), aka the Landa Sheep Camp, as eligible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) for the purposes of this undertaking, and will ensure through the enforcement of avoidance conditions that this site will not be adversely affected by the proposed undertaking. The COE, upon completion of further documentation and research, will make a formal NRHP eligibility determination prior to the implementation of this undertaking.
- 3) I further concur that the historic property identified as P-31-2804/H is *not* eligible for the NRHP.

- 4) Additionally, I concur that the newly identified prehistoric component of archaeological site CA-PLA-1923/H (sparse lithic scatter) is *not* eligible for the NRHP.
- 5) I further concur that your proposed finding of No Adverse Effects with conditions is appropriate for this undertaking pursuant to 36 CFR Part 800.5(b). My concurrence is predicated on the implementation of protection/avoidance measures to ensure that CA-PLA-40/H is not adversely affected by this undertaking.

Be advised that under certain circumstances, such as unanticipated discovery or a change in project description, the COE may have additional future responsibilities for this undertaking under 36 CFR Part 800. Thank you for seeking my comments and for considering historic properties in planning your project. If you require further information, please contact William Soule, Associate State Archeologist at phone 916-654-4614 or email wsoule@parks.ca.gov.

Sincerely,



Milford Wayne Donaldson, FAIA
State Historic Preservation Officer